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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, the Australian Government introduced a demand driven funding system for Commonwealth supported undergraduate places, with a phase in period for the three preceding years. A national target was set for 40 per cent of Australians aged 25-34 years to have a qualification at bachelor level or above1 by 2025.

The demand driven system is designed to meet growing workforce demand for university graduates by funding universities on the basis of student demand for courses, rather than through central allocation and capping of places by the Commonwealth. Since its commencement, the demand driven system has enabled 190,000 additional students to receive a university education. Under a capped system, these students would have been deprived of this opportunity, and Australia would be further behind in its efforts to meet workforce shortages and boost national productivity.

This submission explores the features of the demand driven system and examines early evidence on the extent to which the system is serving to increase participation and meet the skills needs of the Australian economy. It also explores how institutions are operating and responding to changes under the system.

The early evidence is that the system is working effectively and that there remains a compelling case for its maintenance. There is a strong imperative to continue to support the demand driven system if Australia is to effectively and efficiently meet its workforce needs and secure its international competitiveness into the future.

Australian Catholic University (ACU) was a relatively small player in the higher education sector, with a cohort of just over 17,000 students. As a result of the demand driven system ACU has grown to over 26,000 students and is the largest English Speaking Catholic University in the world. ACU’s major growth has been in its areas of traditional strength: health and education. This suggests a student market that is responsive to relative institutional strengths, and workforce needs given ongoing demand for workers in the health and education sectors. This experience is born out across the sector.

Across the higher education sector, the preliminary evidence indicates that the demand driven system is:

**Increasing participation** in higher education, with the number of domestic bachelor Commonwealth-supported places (CSP) (EFTSL) increasing by approximately 23 per cent from 2009 to 2013 (from 469,000 to 577,000) – an increase of approximately 108,000 CSP (EFTSL).2

**Improving access** to higher education and serving to remove some of the barriers to entry for individuals from the lower socioeconomic (SES) and regional quartiles, assisted by supportive initiatives across the sector. From 2008 to 2012, there was a 41 per cent increase in commencing regional students. Similarly, from 2008 to 2012, there was a 31 per cent increase in commencing students from low SES backgrounds.

**Meeting the skills needs in the economy** by producing graduates in response to workforce demand and by filling areas of workforce shortage.

**Driving competition, diversity, innovation and efficiency in the sector**, forcing universities to make the students the focus of their offering as institutions compete to attract and retain students. This leads to greater diversity and a better allocation of resources as students choose universities on the basis of their strengths and universities are driven to invest in their strengths and adjust or jettison weaker offerings. Maintaining the system is key to further driving efficiencies.

**Increasing institutions’ focus on learning and teaching performance**, in order to enhance the quality of education provided and build their respective reputations in the sector in order to remain competitive. Accordingly, institutions such as Australian Catholic University (ACU) have responded to changes under the demand driven system - to support an increased student cohort and diversity within this cohort – by adapting and expanding academic and support services for students to ensure that quality teaching is maintained, and that less academically prepared students receive the additional support they may need. Measures introduced by ACU have included embedding support into teaching and learning by partnering with university faculty staff to provide tailored, discipline specific workshops, tutorials and support services; and using technology to provide online support and learning tools with flexible 24/7 access - extending support to more students to enable them to succeed in their studies.

---

2 Data sourced by ACU from the Australian Government of Department of Industry, ‘uCube’ – Higher Education Statistics.
1. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DEMAND DRIVEN SYSTEM, INCLUDING POLICIES REGARDING THE ALLOCATION OF SUB-BACHELOR AND POSTGRADUATE PLACES

Background to the demand driven system

In 2008, the Review of Australian Higher Education (‘Bradley Review’) recommended the introduction of a demand driven funding system for Commonwealth supported undergraduate places. The idea of introducing such a system had been canvassed and debated for some time. The system was first raised in the Fightback! package in 1992. Fightback! proposed:

Freedom for institutions, academics and students requires a decisive move away from a centrally administered system to one based on a greatly strengthened student market, in which students can choose their university according to their own judgements and institutions have the flexibility to respond to these choices … Institutions will be free to offer places as they chose in any course with limited exceptions.3

In October 1999 the then Minister, David Kemp, brought a submission to Cabinet on university reform which suggested:

…a demand driven system characterised by fee and admissions deregulation, improved quality assurance arrangements, a universal public subsidy for undergraduate students in a broad range of accredited institutions, and a loans scheme to finance the costs of tuition … Most importantly, the package delivers a universal entitlement to higher education for all who can meet entry qualifications. Students will have greater choice about where they study, subject only to meeting admissions criteria, and the range of courses available will be wider and more appropriate as institutions are freed up to respond more directly to demand for particular courses and in particular locations.4

The system is designed to meet growing workforce demand for university graduates by funding universities on the basis of student demand for courses, rather than central allocation by the Commonwealth department. The Gillard Government introduced the demand driven system commencing in 2012 with a phase in period for the three preceding years. A national target was set for 40 per cent of Australians aged 25-34 years to have a qualification at bachelor level or above5 by 2025. Meeting this target would “position Australia among other high performing OECD countries.”6 Under the demand driven system:

- All qualified students are entitled to receive a Commonwealth subsidised higher education place at a recognised institution.
- Students have a choice as to which recognised institution to attend.
- Funding follows the student.
- Public universities are able to set the number of places they offer to students based on student demand, and the needs of employers and industry. The Government no longer specifies how many undergraduate student places it will fund public universities to provide.7

The demand driven system has enabled 190,000 additional students to receive a university education. Under a capped system, these students would have been deprived of this opportunity, and Australia would be further behind in its efforts to meet workforce shortages and boost national productivity.

---

3 Liberal and National Parties, Fightback! Supplementary Paper Number 4 (1992), at 47 and 49.
5 Bradley Review, at xviii.
6 Ibid, at 155.
7 Courses of study in medicine are the only exception.
Australian Catholic University (ACU) – Background and experience under the demand driven system

Australian Catholic University (ACU) is a publicly funded university, open to people of all faiths and of none. ACU operates as a multi-jurisdictional university with seven campuses across three states and one territory. ACU campuses are located in North Sydney (NSW), Strathfield (NSW), Canberra (ACT), Melbourne (Victoria), Ballarat (Victoria), Brisbane (QLD) and Adelaide (SA).8

ACU was formally constituted as a university in 1991 through the amalgamation of a number of Catholic colleges, across multiple jurisdictions. ACU predecessor colleges include the Catholic College of Education (NSW), Signadou College of Education (ACT), Institute of Catholic Education (VIC), and McAuley College (QLD). These institutions had their origins in the mid-1800s, when religious orders and institutes became involved in preparing teachers for Catholic schools and, later, nurses for Catholic hospitals.

Prior to the demand driven system, ACU was a relatively small player in the higher education sector, with a cohort of just over 17,000 students, comprising fewer than 12,000 undergraduate students, in 2008. Under the demand driven system, ACU has grown to establish itself as a leading higher education institution with over 26,000 students including over 19,800 undergraduate students in 2013. ACU has been the fastest growing university under the demand driven system. ACU began planning for the introduction of the demand driven system by increasing enrolments from 2008 to grow progressively and secure its desired student cohort under the system. Today, ACU is the largest English speaking Catholic university in the world.

While almost all of ACU’s offerings have grown the most significant and rapid growth has been in ACU’s core areas of strength – Health and Education – in response to market demand and reflective of the quality and strength of these courses. While ACU students come from a variety educational backgrounds a significant proportion of our students come from Catholic schools. Similarly while ACU prepares students to work in the public and private school and hospital system ACU’s established relationships with Catholic health and education sector employers and the strong employment prospects associated with studying at ACU make it an attractive destination for students. To some extent ACU serves as a link in the Catholic workforce supply chain by producing quality graduates to meet the workforce needs of the Catholic health and education sectors. It is the integration with prospective students and employers, the commitment to mission, the quality of ACU’s courses, and previously unmet demand for teaching and nursing places which explains ACU’s growth under the demand driven system.

ACU has experienced two periods of rapid growth correlating with the phase-in period of the demand driven system and in anticipation of its full implementation, namely, from 2008-2009 and from 2010-2011; with the rate of growth slowing in 2011. ACU’s experience indicates that enrolment growth under the demand driven system, across the sector, is slowing as Australia nears the 40 per cent target mandated by the Bradley Review. As there is a limited pool of students who both wish to pursue, and are suited to, undertake higher education; growth will not be infinite.9

ACU’s enrolment growth rate from 2008-2012 is charted at Figure 1.

---


The developments at ACU reflect the type of growth foreseen by the Bradley Review under a demand driven system:

...a demand-driven system could see a shift of students and funding toward those institutions that wish to grow and that can attract increased numbers of students. This is precisely what is intended: to allow funding to shift between institutions in response to student demand and to create a system in which each institution’s funding is determined dynamically by the quality of its performance rather than by an historically-based system of centrally planned student load allocations.\(^\text{11}\)

ACU has built its reputation in the areas of health and education and is a major producer of nursing and teaching graduates in Australia. ACU enrolls the largest number of undergraduate nursing students in Australia, and the second largest number of undergraduate teaching students in Australia,\(^\text{12}\) serving to meet significant workforce needs in these areas. Under the demand driven system, ACU has sought to focus and build on these strengths. The total number of students (headcount) enrolled in ACU’s Faculty of Education has increased from over 6,100 students in 2008 to nearly 9,000 students in 2013; while the number of students enrolled in ACU’s Faculty of Health Sciences has increased from over 5,000 students to over 9,100 students in 2013.

With respect to undergraduate Commonwealth-supported bachelor students in particular in the context of the demand driven system, the greatest enrolment growth has been within ACU’s faculties of Education and Health Sciences – with ACU securing a 172 per cent increase in the number of students in the Faculty of Health Sciences and a 72 per cent increase in the number of students in the Faculty of Education from 2008 to 2013. Comparatively, growth in other faculties has been less strong with a 37 per cent increase in the number of undergraduate Commonwealth-supported bachelor students in the Faculty of Business from 2009\(^\text{13}\) to 2013, and only a 7 per cent\(^\text{14}\) increase experienced in the Faculty of Arts & Sciences from 2008 to 2013. This suggests a student market that is responsive to relative institutional strengths, and workforce needs given ongoing demand for workers in the health and education sectors. To more closely respond to the workforce needs of the health sector, ACU has also sought to expand its Health course offerings with the introduction of courses in occupational health, speech pathology, and applied public health; with the latter two courses newly offered in 2013.

As the demand driven system drives greater competition for student places and greater institutional specialisation, ACU has streamlined and consolidated its existing six faculties into four: Faculty of Health Sciences; Faculty of

---

\(^\text{10}\) Data sourced from Australian Government of Department of Industry, ‘uCube’ – Higher Education Statistics.

\(^\text{11}\) Bradley Review, at 156.


\(^\text{13}\) The Australian Catholic University Faculty of Business was established in 2009.

\(^\text{14}\) Post-2008, business and theology students previously within the Faculty of Arts and Sciences moved into the new ACU faculties of Business, and Theology & Philosophy, which clarifies this data. From 2009 to 2013 the number of undergraduate Commonwealth-supported bachelor students in the Faculty of Arts & Sciences increased by 51 per cent.
Education and Arts; Faculty of Law and Business; and Faculty of Theology and Philosophy. This restructure involves realigning ACU’s academic structures around key areas of strength for the University. These new arrangements will create a more efficient and competitive structure focused on the needs of industry and employment partners. It will also strengthen the quality of ACU’s offerings and interdisciplinary work, while aligning learning, teaching and research outcomes.

As a result of the demand driven system ACU is also moving towards the adoption of a shared services model, where suitable, to improve efficiencies and internal processes and to better allocate resources.

**Allocation of Sub-bachelor and Postgraduate Places**

ACU supports the extension of the demand driven system to sub-bachelor places. ACU considers that there is benefit in having a diverse range of pathways for students to access higher education. With respect to sub-bachelor programs generally, ACU considers that there are two inherent issues that should be addressed. Firstly, adequate associated funding for student support is required to ensure that students achieve academic success. Secondly, greater focus is required on the proper structuring of TAFE and VET programs at the sub-Bachelor level where students can exit with certification. This will enhance pathways for lower SES students, indigenous students and students with a language other than English.

With respect to postgraduate places, ACU recognises that it is important to produce graduates who are able to meet industry requirements and ensure workers have adequate skills where specific areas of specialisation are required. However, the Commonwealth should resist enlarging postgraduate places to the extent that it risks exposure to bearing undue additional costs, particularly in circumstances where professional bodies or State Governments may engage in behaviour that creates the expectation that the Commonwealth should pay for these postgraduate places.
2. EARLY EVIDENCE ON THE DEMAND DRIVEN SYSTEM

A. Extent to which the demand driven system is increasing participation

Preliminary data indicates that the demand driven system is working well to reach the Government’s objectives of increasing participation in higher education. It is estimated that the number of domestic bachelor Commonwealth Supported Places (CSP) has increased from 469,000 in 2009 to 577,000 in 2013.

While the demand driven system was implemented in 2012, the higher education sector has, essentially, been operating in an ‘uncapped’ environment since 2008. This was recognised in the Bradley Review which noted that the funding system that existed from 2008 was “already substantially demand-driven for each institution,” as a federal policy change in 2007 allowed higher education providers to be fully funded for enrolments up to 5 per cent over their target funding allocations.15 However, only three of the 37 public universities exceeded the 5 per cent limit in 2007.16

An examination of the increase in participation already secured in the phase-in years of the demand driven system, from 2008-2011, is apparent when compared to participation in the preceding years. From 2001 to 2008, the total number of domestic Commonwealth-supported bachelor places increased by only 6 per cent, while the phase-in period of the demand driven system from 2008 to 2012 saw an increase of 21 per cent in the total number of Commonwealth-supported bachelor places.17

Figure 2 reflects the overall increase in participation in higher education achieved from 2008-2012, and identifies the growth in total domestic undergraduate Commonwealth-supported students (equivalent full-time student load (EFTSL)) from 2008-2012.

Figure 2

---

15 Bradley Review, at 156.
16 Ibid.
17 Figures include both commencing and continuing student numbers.
Figure 3 tracks the change across the sector in the growth rate of commencing domestic bachelor students (CSP Load) from 2001 to 2012. Figure 3 reflects the evident lift in participation, through increased commencing enrolments, achieved under the phase-in period of the demand driven system, when compared to the pre-phase in years (pre-2008).

**Figure 3**

![SECTOR: Growth Rate of Commencing Domestic Bachelor CSP Load, 2001-2012](image)

### B. Extent to which the demand driven system is improving access for students from low-SES and rural and regional communities

The Bradley Review set a target of “20 per cent of higher education enrolments at the undergraduate level will be of people from a low socioeconomic (SES) background”\(^\text{18}\) by 2020. As higher SES students have good access to higher educational opportunities the growth in higher education needs to be met by lower SES students.

**Evidence of improved Access**

Across the higher education sector the preliminary evidence indicates that the demand driven system has had an impact in removing additional barriers to entry for individuals from the lower SES and regional quartiles. Figure 4 depicts the trend in growth of commencing domestic undergraduate students from low-SES backgrounds and regional students from 2001 to 2012. From 2008 to 2012, there was a 41 per cent increase in commencing regional students and a 31 per cent increase in commencing students from low SES backgrounds.

---

\(^\text{18}\) Bradley Review, at 45.
Higher Education Sector: Commencing Domestic Undergraduate Students by Equity Group: 2001-2012

SECTOR: Commencing Domestic Undergraduate Students by Equity Group, 2001-2012
Similarly, a study of patterns of enrolment growth in Victoria revealed that while offers of university places have been substantial overall, growth in the lowest three quartiles has been strong over the 2007-2011 period. The indication is that this was due to a near saturation of offer levels for the high SES quartile, which stood at 80 per cent. Overall, the 2007-2011 period saw an increase in the percentage of applicants from the lowest SES quartile with corresponding, and slightly larger, increases in the share of offers and enrolments. The demand driven system has already improved access for thousands of students from low SES backgrounds as well as students from regional areas. However, barriers to entry still need to be addressed, particularly with selective professional courses still being dominated by students from wealthy backgrounds.

Following the sector wide trend ACU has also experienced growth in the number of students from a low SES background. Figure 5 reflects the success of ACU’s efforts to improve access to higher education for low SES and regional students under the demand driven system. Figure 5 plots the growth in the number of commencing domestic bachelor students (Commonwealth supported places – CSP) from low SES and regional backgrounds. From 2008 to 2013, the number of commencing domestic bachelor students from low SES backgrounds at ACU increased by 116 per cent (from 399 to 863 students), while the number of commencing domestic bachelor regional students increased by 111 per cent (from 352 to 741 students).

With respect to indigenous students, ACU attracted an increasing number of this cohort. From 2009 to 2011, there was a 16.5 per cent increase in the number of Indigenous undergraduate students enrolled at ACU. The retention of Indigenous students at ACU also increased over a four year period from 2008: from 64.57 per cent to 72.40 per cent retention.

**Strategies to improve Access**

The Bradley review recommended a more sophisticated approach to access focused around three precursors:
- Awareness of higher education
- Aspiration to participate
- Educational attainment.

In response, many universities, with Government assistance, have since implemented programs to develop and deliver the kind of outreach initiatives and support services envisaged as being necessary to improve access for students from low socio-economic backgrounds and from rural and regional communities.

ACU’s programs to improve access are based around these three precursors.

ACU has developed a Pathways Strategy and established an Equity Pathways Unit to increase access to higher education for students from low socioeconomic and rural and regional communities. ACU’s suite of programs

---

20 Ibid.
22 Bradley Review, at 40.
called ACU*gate involves collaboration with partner schools and communities. ACU*gate programs fall into three categories:

- **Awareness Programs**: which target students from Years 3-6 and aim to provide students and parent with an awareness of higher education opportunities.
- **Aspirations Programs**: which target students from Years 7-10, and aim to raise student aspiration to higher education by engaging with students, parents and teachers.
- **Access Programs**: which target students from Years 11-12, and provide students with a variety of pathways to access higher education based on academic achievement and aptitude.

These programs are dedicated to increasing student, parent and community awareness of and aspiration to higher education and making entry into higher education more accessible for students. ACU’s activity in this space has increased every year since its introduction in 2011. Figure 6 demonstrates the growth in ACU’s outreach activities to low SES students, parents, schools and their communities over the period 2011-2013.

**Figure 6**

**Growth in ACU Outreach 2011-2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Programs Conducted</th>
<th>Teachers</th>
<th>Parents</th>
<th>Number of times Ambassadors or mentors are required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACU’s Awareness programs include:

- **Meet the Professor** where Year 5-6 students are invited to participate in a tour of their local ACU campus. They are offered the chance to meet staff and students and complete an interactive activity related to university life. ACU conducted four meet the Professor tours in 2013.
- **MyScience** which brings members of the broader scientific community (university lecturers, students, representatives from industry and neighbouring secondary school students) to support the teaching of science in primary schools. Year 4-6 students undertake a scientific investigation and communicate their findings to their school community. ACU has conducted four My Science events in 2013.
- **Parent Forums** which engage parents through presenting at school events and parent nights. Parent Forums are also conducted on campus and inform them of university options and the pathways available for their children. ACU has conducted 13 parent forums in 2013.
- **Playing with Numbers** where ACU staff and students engage upper primary school students in fun activities that reinforce basic number concepts and that aim to foster an appreciation of the value of maths learning for life. This may include mathematics live-in camps conducted on campus. ACU has conducted five Playing with Numbers programs in 2013.

ACU’s Aspiration programs include:

- **Aspirations / Launching Days** where Year 7-10 students and/or parents are invited to participate in a range of interactive and hands-on activities conducted by ACU staff and ACU students. The range of activities may be conducted on school sites or on the ACU campus. ACU has organised 56 of these events in 2013.
- **Shadow a Student** affords Year 9-11 students the chance to experience ‘a day in the life of a university student’, accompanying a university student for a day, attending lectures, tutorials and practicals, sharing lunch in the cafeteria, and making use of library services. On return to school, students share their experiences and impressions with their Year Level cohort. Eight ACU students have been shadowed in 2013.
- **Professional Development Days** where partner schools are invited to conduct their staff professional development days on the university campus. This enables staff of schools to see a university in action. It

provides an interactive forum whereby staff from both schools and universities can discuss challenges that arise in transition from school to university.

- **Year 10 Mentors for MyScience** provides able and engaged Year 10 science students for mentoring support to the students doing the MyScience program in the neighbouring primary school. The Year 10 students are trained to mentor the primary students through their investigative science work. They provide support throughout the investigation, and are examples for their younger peers of students who see science as interesting, worthwhile and exciting. It also provides the mentors with an opportunity to broaden their knowledge base by embedding skills involved in investigative science and rewards them for their science ability and interest.

- **Journal Writing Program** where ACU staff work with Year 7 and 8 teachers to assist them to engage their students in discussions around their future career and educational aspirations, and the pathways necessary to achieve them. Students are assigned an on-going journal writing exercise that asks them to reflect, further identify their aspirations and articulate what is required to achieve their goals. A hardcopy journal is provided by ACU.

- **On the Job** is a fun, interactive online program that introduces students to a broad range of careers and their potential linkages to university. The program contains job profiles, games, activities, links, relevant resources and videos.²⁵

- **Careers Web Quest** is an online interactive educational program that employs a problem-based learning approach. It is a team based activity requiring higher order thinking skills. It introduces students to a broad range of career options and to their links to higher education courses. ACUgate staff provide in-service support to teachers prior to the program.²⁶

- **Thinking with Maths** gets ACU Education staff and students to engage Years 7-9 students in fun activities that reinforce basic number concepts and that aim to foster an appreciation of the value of maths learning for life. This may include mathematics live-in camps conducted on campus. This program is currently in development.

ACU’s Access programs include:

- **Writers’ Workshop** is a series of two, one-day academic skills workshops, focussing specifically on the development of writing skills for Year 12 students. The workshops cover such topics as improving clarity of expression, improving and developing revision techniques and analysing and answering past paper questions.

- **Making Maths Work** is a series of two, one-day academic skills workshops conducted in the school holidays at the end of Term 2 and Term 3 for Year 12 students. The workshops aim to support and encourage participation in the study of mathematics and to assist students to optimise their maths grades to enhance university entrance. Workshops include consolidation of knowledge in areas such as Statistics, Calculus, Trigonometry and Probability.

ACU has run 14 of these writing and maths workshops in 2012-13. In 2012, 70 students participated in the Writers Workshop and Making Maths Work workshops. In 2013, 340 students participated.

- **Uni Step Up** is a program where Year 11 and 12 students are offered the chance to undertake a selected range of first year university units of study. Units of study available and their method of delivery vary according to state. Currently, courses are available in the areas of Education, Health Science, Exercise Science, Arts and Business. Students who successfully complete their chosen unit of study, and who choose to enrol in a related ACU undergraduate degree course will receive credit points towards that degree. If students enrol in another university it will be at the discretion of that university to award credit. Of the 80 students participating in this program in 2012, 20 entered ACU in 2013.

- **Education Reconnect (ER)** programs are in two categories. ER1 is targeted at students who have achieved an ATAR but have not been able to start a university degree. This program enables students to stay connected to university without a full-time load or financial commitment. They are offered a university unit which can be credited to their degree when they commence full-time study. It is also possible for a student to enter ACU through ACU’s pathway program ACUcom. Of the 33 Education Reconnect 1 (ER1) students, 11 have entered ACU.

- **ER2** targets people who have not achieved an ATAR. Participants may have worked for some time, may have done a non-university course and now wish to pursue a degree. These individuals are required to

---


submit a CV, are interviewed by the Equity Pathways Officer on the campus, are required to submit academic transcripts of other courses completed (e.g. TAFE Cert 111 and Cert 1V courses) and are required to nominate three referees. The Equity Pathways Officer considers the material and if agreed by the faculty, a direct offer is made. Of the 4 Education Reconnect 2 (ER2) students, all are achieving above average results.

- **Come to Dinner** is an event hosted by ACU for Indigenous secondary school students and ACU Indigenous staff and students to share a formal dinner together. Secondary school students are provided with the opportunity to listen to presentations from ACU Indigenous staff and students, and have the chance to engage in conversation about university life. ACU Indigenous students are trained as mentors to assist their effective interactions with secondary school students. ACU has run three of these events in 2013.

- **Principal’s Recommendation Program** is offered to partner schools and identifies students who have experienced educational disadvantage. In consultation with the principal, Equity Pathways Unit and the relevant Faculty a Year 12 student is recommended for entry to an ACUaccess or degree program. The student must participate in ACU Smart and are supported by an academic skills advisor throughout their first year. Of the 91 students participating in this program in 2012, 58 entered ACU in 2013.

- **ACUaccess-ACUcom** is for students wishing to enrol at ACU who do not have sufficient prerequisites to start a degree course to provide pathways options that include diploma courses and VET courses offered by ACUcom. This program is directed to early school leaver students with ATARS below entrance requirements.

Given the short time that the demand driven system has been operating and the age of the students involved in the Awareness and Aspiration programs, it is not possible to evaluate their success at this stage. However as indicated above, the results from some of ACU’s Access programs indicate that they are successful in encouraging more students from low SES backgrounds to attend university.

ACU is also part of three major state-based collaborative projects designed to lift low SES participation.

- **Widening Tertiary Participation** a Queensland funded and based $21 million program (2011-2014) where seven universities are assigned a geographic area to conduct their outreach activities to widen participation in higher education, particularly targeting students from low socioeconomic backgrounds.

- **Bridges to Higher Education** a NSW based Commonwealth funded $21.4 million program (2011-2014) where five universities work with primary and secondary schools, TAFE and community partners in Greater Western Sydney to engage young people who previously might not have considered higher education as an option. All universities participate in the nine collaborative projects designed to lift participation.27

- **LEAP- Learn, Experience, Access, Professions** a Victorian funded and based $3.4 million program (2011-2014) where eight universities aim to demystify the links between school, university and professions. LEAP seeks to encourage secondary students from low SES communities to consider higher education through a focus on the professions. LEAP offers access to a range of university campus, workplace and school-based activities along with online resources. LEAP aims to help students learn more about the role of university study in attaining their career goals. Engineering, Health, Design and Law professions are the first four professions being highlighted by the program with others added over time.28

---

C. Meeting the skills needs in the economy

The Bradley Review commissioned Access Economics to examine future demand for the employment of university graduates. Access predicted a cumulative shortfall of 370,000 graduates by 2018 and suggested that Australia’s future productivity may be undermined if it failed to meet this demand.\textsuperscript{29} The Bradley Review found that substantial increases in the number of Commonwealth supported higher education places would need to be facilitated in order to increase the number of graduates in Australia.\textsuperscript{30}

More recent predictions indicate that:

- Demand for higher-level skills will substantially increase over the next decade, with the growth of high-skilled jobs expected to occur at around 160 per cent of the rate of low-skilled jobs.\textsuperscript{31}

- By 2025, industry demand for post-school qualifications will increase from 60 per cent to between 65 and 75 per cent, depending on the nature and growth of the economy.\textsuperscript{32}

The preliminary evidence indicates that the demand driven system is serving to meet the skills needs of the Australian economy, by producing graduates in response to workforce demand and by filling areas of workforce shortage.

Figure 7 below provides an overview of enrolment growth in commencing domestic students under the demand driven system by broad field of education at all Australian higher education providers.\textsuperscript{33}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
Broad Field of Education & \% Change from 2009-2012\textsuperscript{34} & Average Annual Increase 2009-2012 \\
\hline
Natural and Physical Sciences & 34.8\% & 11.3\% \\
Information Technology & 20.8\% & 7.8\% \\
Engineering and Related Technologies & 16.0\% & 6.3\% \\
Architecture and Building & 11.0\% & 1.8\% \\
Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies & -0.7\% & 0.8\% \\
Health & 25.7\% & 8.4\% \\
Education & 16.8\% & 6.4\% \\
Management and Commerce & 8.7\% & 2.9\% \\
Society and Culture & 17.3\% & 7.0\% \\
Creative Arts & 13.6\% & 7.1\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Commencing Domestic Student Numbers}
\end{table}

Additional new graduates in these areas will serve to fill existing workforce needs and to address existing and projected skills shortages domestically.

- The health workforce is severely strained, and is likely to be further challenged faced with a significant ageing population. Australia expects to face a workforce gap of between 80,000 and 147,000 nurses by 2025,\textsuperscript{35} and there are further workforce shortages in various other health fields such as speech pathology.

\textsuperscript{30} Bradley Review, at 155.
\textsuperscript{31} Universities Australia, ‘Australia has a lot to be proud of in our universities’ (27 February 2013), at http://www.smartestinvestment.com.au/2013/02/australia-has-a-lot-to-be-proud-of-in-our-universities/
\textsuperscript{32} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{33} Data derived from Australian Government Department of Industry, Innovation, Climate Change, Science, Research and Tertiary Education statistics publications.
\textsuperscript{34} Figures rounded to the first decimal place.
\textsuperscript{35} Health Workforce Australia predictions quoted in Murphy, K, ‘Nursing shortage crisis looming’, \textit{Sydney Morning Herald} (28 March 2012).
Overall, the Health Care and Social Assistance industry is projected to experience the fastest growth in Australia.\(^{36}\)

- Similarly, the **education** sector faces changing workforce needs into the future. Currently, there is an expectation that Australian schools will face a large influx of students over the next eight years. The Commonwealth Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations forecasts an additional 670,000 school student enrolments over the next seven to eight years.\(^{37}\)

- In the **architecture and building** field, the Australian Government predicts that demand for building professionals and architectural and building technicians will increase over the long-term.\(^{38}\) Courses such as architecture and landscape architecture have a particularly high education and skill match, with the Australian Workforce and Productivity Agency identifying that the skills people acquire through education and training are being deployed for the uses intended – with university courses particularly noted as having a “strong degree of match with eventual employment in architectural occupations.”\(^{39}\) In 2010, of the new graduates employed as Architects or Landscape Architects, 91 per cent were found to have studied in a related field, such as building or architecture and urban environment.\(^{40}\)

- Shortages of **engineering** graduates are also an issue, which is having a wider impact in stalling national development. Current numbers of engineering graduates are insufficient to meet Australia’s engineering skills shortages. This is having a range of workforce flow-on effects and has “led to delays across a number of engineering and infrastructure projects.”\(^{41}\) Australia has been dependent on sourcing skilled workers from overseas, however this is not a sustainable long-term solution (use of 457 visas for engineering occupations has tripled since 2003-2004, and more than 50 per cent of the engineering labour force is overseas born) and industry has been stressing the need to prioritise domestic engineering education.\(^{42}\)

- A statistical report by Engineers Australia indicates that bachelor degree completions producing new professional engineers were about 5 per cent higher in 2009 and 2010 – which falls under the phase-in period of the demand driven system - than the decade average.\(^{43}\) Overall, the flow of new professional **engineers** through bachelor degree completions, increased by 7.6 per cent in 2010.\(^{44}\) Reliance on temporary skilled migration to fill engineering workforce gaps is not a sustainable policy approach, and Engineers Australia would “like to see government and industry moving towards long-term solutions for tackling the skills shortage. Investment in engineering education remains a priority area.”\(^{45}\)

- The former Department of Industry, Innovation, Science, Research and Tertiary Education has also highlighted that Australia “will need to raise the level of **technical skills and scientific education** as well as the number of people with these skills in the workforce” if it is to produce an innovative, high technology, and high productive economy.\(^{46}\) OECD reports also reveal that countries that are recognised as having an
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\(^{37}\) Ferrari, J, ‘Schools to chalk up massive growth,’ *The Australian* (23 August 2012).


\(^{40}\) Australian Graduate Survey (2010).


\(^{44}\) Ibid.

\(^{45}\) Engineers Australia, above n 47.

innovative workforce - such as Sweden, Denmark and Finland - have three times more research and development personnel in industry and commerce than Australia.47

- Australia’s Chief Scientist has outlined that the current challenges for Australia’s science system include addressing graduate and workforce shortages in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM).48 Significantly, 75 per cent of the fastest growing occupations require STEM skills and knowledge.49

- Student numbers in information technology related courses have grown under the demand driven system. Workforce projections indicate that the demand for information and communications technology (ICT) technical and professional staff continues to grow.50 Australia has one of the highest rates of broadband use by business in the world.51 If Australia is to continue to participate effectively in a rapidly changing technological environment and compete in the digital age – both domestically and internationally – it is necessary to continue to producing more graduates with strong information technology skills that can serve business and industry effectively.

- Higher education courses which, on a superficial evaluation, may not have direct application to particular professions do also carry significant benefit and contribute to the national productivity and innovation drive.52 For example, creative arts, society and culture, philosophy and history degrees, might fall into this category, compared to more vocation-oriented courses such as teaching and nursing. A recent landmark study of 11,000 graduates of English, history, philosophy, classics and modern languages between 1960 to 1989 (conducted in the United Kingdom), revealed a dramatic movement of these graduates into new growth sectors including finance, media and legal services.53 The study identified that University of Oxford humanities graduates, especially its philosophers, “led the way into the new finance jobs that helped to drive economic growth in Britain.”54

51 Ibid, at 65.
52 As Charles Vest, former President of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology has eloquently articulated, although the reality of today is that universities “must teach students to relate analysis and theory to the practical and the concrete...it is the pursuit of the truly unknown – of principles, insights, materials, and organisms of which we currently have no inkling – that will yield the greatest rewards for a society that invests in education, scholarship, and research. New knowledge can advance the human spirit, strengthen the economy, and enhance the quality of life... We must remind ourselves, and the public, that our value to practical concerns like health, economic productivity, and national security accrues ultimately from our enthusiasm for mysteries – our readiness, and that of our students, to explore the truly unknown.” Charles Vest in Barlow, T., The Australian Miracle: An Innovative Nation Revisited (2006), at 203.
3. EXTENT TO WHICH THE REFORMS HAVE ENCOURAGED INNOVATION, COMPETITION, DIVERSITY AND GREATER RESPONSIVENESS TO STUDENT DEMAND INCLUDING DEVELOPMENT OF NEW MODES OF DELIVERY SUCH AS ONLINE LEARNING

The demand driven system facilitates greater competition and innovation amongst institutions, as they can no longer rely on pre-determined centrally allocated student quotas to fill places. Institutions must now work more proactively and compete to attract students. The demand driven system is already creating allocative efficiencies, driving diversity and creating specialisation in universities by compelling them to develop areas of strength and to shed inefficiencies, in order to ensure their viability in the market. By creating a more competitive market the system is driving universities to focus on students as customers. It is also serving to drive innovation as individual universities work to differentiate themselves, and constantly seek to lift the quality of their course offerings to both attract and retain students, and to better respond to student learning needs and demand; the latter has included the development of new modes of delivery such as the greater use of online learning. The demand driven system has also encouraged universities to make strategic decisions around partnerships and collaborations to enhance their services and profiles.

The market-based competition which underpins the demand driven system creates important allocative efficiencies in universities as it encourages institutions to specialise in their areas of greatest strength and address, or jettison, areas of weakness.

A competitive environment compels higher education providers to evaluate their strengths and weaknesses, and to respond with course offerings and services that meet demand. Effectively, “thirty-nine universities can no longer afford to do thirty-nine versions of the same thing.” In areas where an institution is not competitive, the institution will be more inclined to redirect its resources to respond to demand, differentiate itself, and work to continuously lift quality in its areas of strength in order to remain competitive.

Institutions have already begun to operate more efficiently and innovatively under the demand driven system, better allocating resources and adjusting course offerings in response to student demand. The demand driven system is driving these changes. Maintaining the system is key to further driving efficiencies. If the system is capped or altered then the policy drivers which are promoting diversity and rational economic choices will be removed, thereby protecting institutions from competition. A few illustrative examples of the rational economic choices universities are making include:

- The University of Ballarat merger with the Monash University Gippsland Campus and merged as ‘Federation University Australia’ (FUA). Commenting on the rationale behind the merge, the Vice-Chancellor of Monash University, Professor Ed Byrne, identified that “in recent years our university and others have been making every effort towards increased efficiency, [and] streamlining processes.” The merger will enable the two universities to pool the skills and expertise developed in Gippsland, Ballarat and the western regions of Victoria to “give students access to a wider range of courses and to better support students, business, industry and community.” The creation of FUA reflects a deliberate strategic decision to lift the recruitment potential, regional reach and marketability of the institution.

- The University of Western Sydney (UWS) has discontinued courses in which enrolments had declined and it could no longer compete (including the UWS Bachelor of Economics, three majors in offered Arts degrees, and Bachelor of Communication sub-majors of writing, performance and animation), as students opted for alternative universities in their preferences. In November 2012, the UWS Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Corporate Strategy and Services) indicated an intention to review and streamline UWS course offerings.

56 Foenander, C., ‘University plan feels the pinch’ ABC Gippsland (16 April 2013) at http://www.abc.net.au/local/stories/2013/04/16/3738211.htm?site=gippsland
59 For example see UWSdissenter, ‘Cuts to Economics at UWS’ (5 November 2012), at http://uwsdissenter.wordpress.com/2012/11/05/cuts-to-economics-at-uws-media-release/
• Australian Catholic University (ACU) has adjusted its organisational structure streamlining its existing six faculties into four faculties. Plans are also underway to develop shared services across organisational units to improve efficiencies.

• In 2012, Swinburne University of Technology’s (Swinburne) Vice-Chancellor announced that Swinburne would be reshaping its identity and taking it back to what she saw as its ‘core’ of science, technology and innovation.60 Swinburne had accumulated an “apparently disconnected grab-bag of courses since it was established 104 years ago as a technical school.”61 In an address to staff in July 2012, the Vice-Chancellor identified that if Swinburne was to achieve its objectives in the new competitive funding environment, it would need to make decisive changes to the courses it delivered, and how and where they were delivered.62 Swinburne has also sought to examine ways to “more efficiently” deliver the services that support its teaching and research.

• The University of Adelaide has adjusted its course offerings to better respond to student demand. Courses experiencing low student numbers have been cut from the University’s Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, with the institution commenting that it wants to “appropriately support students in the programs they choose, which means redirecting resources away from the many programs which they clearly do not favour.”63 To operate more efficiently, The University of Adelaide’s faculties have also been directed to identify their core areas of curriculum which are the most important to their faculty mission. This would also lead to greater specialisation and concentration on the institution’s core areas of strength.

• The demand driven system has also propelled universities to actively seek out and establish new collaborations and quality partnerships to better tap into and meet diverse student demands and markets. The University of Canberra (UC) has entered into a partnership with Holmesglen Institute to teach an expanded range of degrees, creating new pathways for students to gain access to a higher education – Holmesglen Institute will teach certain UC undergraduate degrees, while selected Holmesglen degrees will be rebadged as UC degrees. Professor Stephen Parker, Vice-Chancellor, University of Canberra, has identified that the demand driven system compelled it to expand in order to remain competitive and also noted that the institution had looked to its long-term future and sought to ‘build scale’ through partnerships - the new partnership with the Institute will be the “beginnings of a national network” for UC. The demand driven system has afforded institutions such as UC the necessary flexibility to create “realistic pathways for students who missed out on higher education, because of educational deficits when they were younger or because of life experiences.”64

• La Trobe University is proposing to streamline its structure whereby its current five faculties would be collapsed into two super faculties or colleges under an efficiency restructure.65 La Trobe’s Vice-Chancellor Professor John Dewar has said that such a structure would “play to our strengths”. The University also intends to restructure around research priorities, and the University’s cross-disciplinary research priority areas will ‘sit between’ the two colleges and foster cross-disciplinary activity.66 The restructure is aimed at reducing costs and duplication in light of a looming $65 million budget deficit and to free up money to invest in boosting the University’s teaching and learning performance. A review of academic productivity through the introduction of new performance criteria for teaching and learning, and a move to simplify and standardise support functions such as human resources, finance and marketing, are also being considered.67

• Central Queensland University (CQU) intends to model its expansion plans on the ‘Bendigo Bank model’ to open branches in towns abandoned by its rivals.68 CQU Vice-Chancellor Professor Scott Bowman has commented that most Australian towns want their own campus, however economics do not usually carry

61 Ibid.
66 Ibid.
favour. Professor Bowman’s approach relies on towns contributing with infrastructure and staff time: “It might be a room in the council offices, the library, a TAFE. We could train a librarian, for example, in enrolment processes, student support and the courses we offer by distance.” 69 It is envisaged that the local communities would benefit from a “direct link–in” to the university, with the possibility of additionally benefits such as mutually beneficial research projects; and students would “gain relief from the loneliness of distance education.”70

- **Murdoch University** has significantly restructured under the demand driven system, making a move in 2011 to reduce and streamline its fourteen schools into eight schools, move from eighteen to eight directors, review its curriculum and restructure its professional services. Vice-Chancellor Professor Richard Higgott has commented that Murdoch is now a “more efficient institution.”71 The merging of Murdoch’s Law faculty with Arts and Education, and Business with Media and Creative Technology reportedly helped Murdoch to “find sufficient surpluses from the operating revenue to reinvest for a financially secure future”, saving the university $550,000.72

- **James Cook University (JCU)** plans to restructure in 2014 by replacing its existing four faculties, fourteen schools and four corporate divisions with a college structure.73 The new structure would consist of two divisions – tropical health and life sciences; and environment, science and design – and seven colleges, and three corporate divisions. JCU Vice-Chancellor, Professor Sandra Harding, has articulated the JCU’s desire to differentiate itself in the higher education landscape, commenting that “The ambition is to uphold a university that is unique in the Australian higher education setting in terms of its focus, the student experience and its engagement.” The new structure would lend to ‘intuitive groups of disciplines’ to facilitate the development of signature programs and implement a ‘grand-challenges framework.’74

Under the demand driven system, universities must more sharply focus their services on students. While students have always been a primary focus for higher education providers, the demand driven system facilitates greater competition between institutions. In order to attract students, institutions are forced to work harder to ensure the delivery of quality education and to strengthen their respective reputations. Under such a system - where funding follows the student - students are effectively ‘higher education ‘shoppers’ who are more interested than ever before in specific learning outcomes, job guarantees, and prices.”75

While the notion of specialisation and differentiation amongst institutions is not a new concept within the higher education sector, the introduction of the demand driven system propels universities to focus on their strengths. Universities must demonstrate to prospective students that they can ‘value-add’ to their learning experiences, and portray how their courses can more readily equip the student with the knowledge and skills being sought.

A further benefit of competition and greater flexibility under a demand driven system is that institutions are more likely to innovate to address systemic issues that may be preventing capable students from participating in higher education. It is recognised that “disadvantaged students lack awareness of higher education and lack understanding of what is involved in preparing for [a university course]”76, which has held back a number of these students from engaging in higher education. In seeking to attract more capable students under a demand driven system, institutions are more driven to develop initiatives to overcome these barriers. In this sense, the incentives created under an open and competitive system also serve the broader national interest, in producing more graduates to lift national productivity.

- **The University of South Australia** has recently announced a bold new action plan to profile and assert itself as “Australia’s enterprise university”, aiming to build a distinctive reputation as an institution “that is
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71 Hare, J., ‘Murdoch head defends results of rapid reform’, *The Australian* (4 December 2013).
74 Ibid.
76 Bradley Review, at 40.
innovative, engaged and enterprising.”77 The University has undertaken to build three new regional campuses, new University branded accommodation sites, and a new sports and cultural complex. The Vice-Chancellor, Professor David Lloyd, has commented that “Students are why we exist, so providing the best environment we can for them is core business.” The University is also working closely with industry and responding to workforce needs. Under the demand driven system, the University has great flexibility to adapt its courses and intakes accordingly, and is working to ensure that “content and practice are informed by first-hand experience of what is happening on the ground - in health, in business, in government, in the environment and in the professions.”78 This is being facilitated by appointing more industry and professional leaders on its expert advisory boards, as well as creating competitive internship or work experience opportunities for students.

- **Swinburne** Acting Vice-Chancellor, Professor Jennelle Kyd, has argued that ‘the demand-driven system has made it possible for institutions to deliver education in new ways’ and that Swinburne could not have created “Swinburne Online” under the old system of Commonwealth-supported places.79 Swinburne Online is a partnership between Swinburne and SEEK Ltd (commenced in 2012), which offers undergraduate degrees to students through “entirely digital” learning. In 12 months, 7,000 full-time students have enrolled in Swinburne Online.80 As Andrew Norton has identified, under the capped system, Swinburne would have “needed to go through a slow political process to get new places, with no recent precedent for such a large number of new students at a single institution.”81

- Similarly, in 2011, **Curtin University** launched “Curtin Online” – in 2012, Curtin University had 6,800 off-campus students, which was a 70 per cent increase on the 2010 pre-launch year.82

- Established online higher education providers such as **Open Universities Australia** (OUA) have also benefitted from the demand driven system. OUA experienced a 7 per cent growth rate between 2011-2012. The demand driven system has “allowed its client universities to offer subsidised degree programs through OUA; whereas previously its growth constrained as no Commonwealth contributions were available for subjects outside of a degree program.”83 Ventures such as those developed by Swinburne Online have provided students with new and greater options to access higher education, which is in pace with developments in higher education internationally.

- **Open Universities Australia** (OUA) is effectively “turning its business model upside down” facing the impact of increased competition under the demand driven system.84 OUA - a pioneer of online education with joint ventures with a number of Australian universities including OUA Pathways85 - has experienced a decline in enrolments with a 4 per cent decline in enrolments in the last quarter of 2012. The institution has acknowledged that the demand driven system has brought on increased competition and innovation amongst universities. The Chief Executive of OUA, Paul Wappett, has commented that “For a long time, we [OUA] were the only game in town when it came to online education”, however there has been more competition for students with Curtin University of Technology and Swinburne University of Technology launching their own online programs while still offering courses through joint ventures with OUA. Consequently, OUA has been compelled to reposition itself and seek new ways to improve quality in its courses to attract more students. OUA is reacting to the transformed market by diversifying. Apart from full degrees, it has moved into vocational and educational training, as well as corporate and compliance training. Free ‘taster courses’ are also being offered via its Open2Study platform to provide a ‘test bed for improving the quality and appeal of its online courseware.’

An uncapped higher education environment encourages universities to make strategic decisions around partnerships and collaborations to enhance their services and profiles. With the flexibility afforded under the demand driven
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84 Lane, B., ‘Open uni gets clever to combat rival sites’, *The Australian* (28 August 2013).
85 See http://www.open.edu.au/future-students/commonwealth-supported-place-students/
system, higher education providers are able to respond more quickly to the needs of industry and employers. As a safeguard, the Government retains the ability to, if necessary, respond to new skills shortages and/or any oversupply of graduates in particular areas; however the system allows institutions the flexibility to determine student numbers based on industry and employer needs.

- The University of Adelaide has also announced it is exploring new education models. In particular, a new partnership could enhance teacher education in South Australia through pooling resources between universities and drawing upon respective institutional strengths. The particular initiative involves a proposed agreement where students would be able to enrol in a double degree program, combining an undergraduate discipline degree at the University of Adelaide, with an education degree from Flinders University. The Vice-Chancellor of The University of Adelaide, Professor Warren Bebbington, has identified that the initiative could better respond to workforce needs, considering that ‘such a collaboration could help to reduce the duplication in education courses in South Australia at a time when a state school population could mean limited jobs for teachers.’ In particular, the initiative could serve to “enhance the quality of graduates, by combining rigour in a subject area with a broader pedagogical experience than either university could offer alone.” It would facilitate an arrangement that allows the institutions involved to work to and deliver on their respective strengths. The Vice-Chancellor of Flinders University, Professor Michael Barber, has recognised that such a collaboration would serve to produce high quality graduates, identifying that “research shows that embedding an element of practical teaching from an early stage in university studies makes an enormous difference with graduates being more likely to enjoy a successful career in the classroom and stay in the profession.”

Under a demand driven system, universities have much greater flexibility and incentive to keep abreast of workforce needs and to adapt and enhance course offerings accordingly, to better serve students and employers.

- For instance, Flinders University identifies that its motivation for the industry-focus of its engineering awards has been the “feedback the University is receiving from employers”, also noting the ‘steady stream of commentary’ in 2012 detailing the shortage of engineering and ICT graduates.

---

86 It has been said that in the context of effective priority setting “Governments are like bad big game hunters. They hunt in the same parks as everybody else. They are noisy and not well camouflaged... And if they strike a target, it is invariably only after someone else’s bullet has already brought it down.” (Barlow, T., The Australian Miracle: An Innovative Nation Revisited (2006), at 178-179). While this may be a harsh analogy, the reality is that if Australia is to secure a competitive edge in the global market, the incentives afforded under the demand driven system are essential to facilitating the necessary flexibility and competition amongst institutions to attract more students into higher education, and to acquire the foresight to devise and deliver innovative courses that are more responsive to workforce needs.


89 Ibid.

90 Ibid.

4. WHETHER THERE IS EVIDENCE OF ANY POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON THE QUALITY OF TEACHING AND OF FUTURE GRADUATES

Quality and Standards

There is a common misconception that quality is compromised under a demand driven system. The primary argument has been that standards have been lowered and ATARs have dropped. These are superficial arguments which have been the subject of significant public debate in recent times. The limitations and serious shortcomings of ATARs (or equivalent) in assessing student capacity have been publicly highlighted. Of the limitations identified, perhaps the most significant to note is that numerous studies have found that what correlates most strongly with an ATAR (or equivalent) is not subsequent success at university (which is what critics should be focusing on), but socio-economic status. Assessing the quality and standards of higher education based on ATAR entry requirements is significantly flawed. Such arguments will only serve to further inhibit the participation of capable students in higher education and compound the disadvantage already experienced by many from lower socio-economic backgrounds.

Further dispelling concerns around entry standards, the latest analysis of student application data suggests that there is a natural filtering out on the demand side of the spectrum which concurrently serves to support the maintenance of standards. Analysis of application data reveals a ‘reasonable level of student self-knowledge’: “most people with below-50 ATARs do not apply to university”; additionally, of applicants who scored ATARs below-60 and received a university offer, 40 per cent reject it. Overall, in 2012, only 10 per cent of all acceptances were from applicants who had scored an ATAR of 60 or below.

The Bradley Review recognised the detrimental effect of an increasing tendency at the time of the Review, for institutions to use “the simplest and most defensible approach to admissions (such as the TER [or ATAR]).” It observed that this tendency was particularly pronounced in some fields of study which are in high demand, or in which there was a need to be able to defend decisions around admissions to external bodies in an increasingly litigious environment. Consequently, it was recommended that “more widespread use of other approaches to selection and admission with a broader range of criteria in addition to or replacing the TER and which recognise structural disadvantage should be trialled.”

‘Quality’ in higher education, of course, may be assessed or measured from a number of angles. Significant conceptual indicators of ‘quality’ include notions of:

- Transformation or the involvement of ‘qualitative change’ from one state to another “as applied to the development of students through the learning process or the creation of new knowledge.”
- Fitness for purpose.
- Value for money or economic benefit.

All of these measures can be said to be demonstrated under the demand driven system in its preliminary years, based on observations and estimates to date. The indication so far is that enrolment growth under the demand driven system is being met by academically capable students – who are being ‘transformed’ into capable workers. Additionally, private and public benefits are accrued in facilitating greater access to higher education: individuals with university qualifications secure much higher incomes; and the knowledge and skills developed through higher education are serving to meet skills shortages and workforce needs – contributing to higher national productivity.

---
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Significant measures of quality and success are student retention and attrition. Student attrition rates are actually decreasing while student enrolments increase, which serves to dispel concerns raised about a “potential decline in standards.” The new data reveals that between 2005 and 2011 student attrition rates improved in all Australian states and territories; with the exception of Tasmania and the Northern Territory.

Analysis undertaken by Harvey and Luckman further identifies that, counter to unfounded fears of ‘declining standards’, quality has been preserved; if not improved under the demand driven system. Between 2009-2011 student attrition rates, retention (retention rates reportedly rose by 2 per cent), student-staff ratios, and student feedback all indicate rising standards and satisfaction. Even the most recent data shows that student retention rates rose again in 2012. They also note that “while quality was preserved, a more diverse sector was also created.”

The recommendations of the Bradley Review for widened participation accompanied by appropriate academic support services have been embraced by higher education providers to the great benefit of students and the higher education system more broadly.

The demand driven system provides that “each institution’s funding is determined dynamically by the quality of its performance rather than by an historically-based system of centrally-planned student load allocations”, and allows funding to shift between institutions in response to student demand. The Bradley Review anticipated that the system could see a shift in students and funding toward those institutions that had a desire to grow and could attract additional students. The indication is that these predictions are already being realised. A report by the Grattan Institute found that attrition rates from the higher education system as a whole are declining. At the time of the Bradley Review, the latest OECD data identified that Australia’s attrition (or drop-out rate) was 28 per cent, suggesting a need for improved performance. Under the demand driven system, institution-level attrition rates are much lower at approximately 19 per cent. This may be a direct benefit of the demand driven system, with more students moving between universities after their first year. Under the demand driven system, with universities having greater flexibility and consequently being “more willing to accept transfers from students who are dissatisfied with their original choice, fewer of them drop out entirely.”

Another significant indication that quality has not been compromised is information on graduate outcomes under the system. This data provides a signal about the general satisfaction of employers with new higher education graduates and their suitability in terms of meeting current workforce needs. The most recent data on graduate outcomes released by Graduate Careers Australia in December 2012 identifies that “no notable change was seen in the 2012 figures for bachelor degree graduates either in or seeking full-time employment.” In 2012, higher education graduates secured high levels of employment, with 76.3 per cent of bachelor level graduates seeking full-time employment being in full-time employment within four months of completing their degrees. This is essentially unchanged from 2011. Additionally, 15.3 per cent of graduates were working on a part-time or casual basis while continuing to seek full-time employment. Again, there is no notable change from 2011. Given that the lead in period for the demand driven system commenced in 2008, the 2011 and 2012 figures present data which takes into account students commencing under the new system.
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5. MEASURES BEING TAKEN BY UNIVERSITIES TO ENSURE QUALITY TEACHING IS MAINTAINED AND ENHANCED IN THE DEMAND DRIVEN SYSTEM

An indication of the overall quality of university teaching under the demand driven system may be gauged from recent graduate feedback. The most recent data indicates that 94.1 per cent of graduates expressed broad satisfaction with their courses. This indicates that there is a high level of satisfaction amongst students and new graduates with the general quality of teaching provided to them.

A benefit of the introduction of the demand driven system has been an increased focus on the learning and teaching performance of higher education institutions. Under the capped system there was less incentive for institutions to focus on the quality of teaching and learning given that demand for university places always outstripped supply, and that the next year’s student cohort was generally guaranteed for institutions. With the introduction of a competitive higher education environment and the need for institutions to more actively attract students, universities are now compelled to invest in quality teaching and measures to support student learning, in order to enhance the quality of education provided and improve their reputation in the sector. With increased competition and growth, institutions have been challenged to continuously engage in initiatives that maintain and enhance quality teaching and service the diverse learning needs of the student cohort.

While ACU has always offered a range of academic and support services to its students, the University has sought to respond to changes under the demand driven system by appropriately adapting its services to support the larger and more diverse student cohort; to ensure that quality teaching is maintained and enhanced. ACU has focused on changing its service delivery model from the traditional one-on-one support model to one which includes:

- Embedding support into teaching and learning by partnering with faculty staff to provide tailored, discipline specific tutorials and support services.
- Offering workshops to groups of students.
- Using technology to provide support online; which will enable ACU to reach more students without having to increase staffing and to provide students 24/7 access to the support they need to succeed in their studies.

ACU academic teaching and student support services staff are engaged in delivering a wide range of student learning support services to enhance student learning.

Embedding Programs to Enhance Teaching and Learning Quality at ACU:

ACU Office of Student Success collaborates with academic staff to develop and deliver embedded workshops and tutorials for students, tailored to specific disciplines. These initiatives support teaching that is responsive to student learning needs within their respective disciplines. Workshops and tutorials focus on academic skills, career services, and student counselling. Examples of tutorials and workshops include:

- **Health Sciences**: ACU Health Science academic staff prepare and present workshops and tutorials for Health Science students on areas such as numeracy, essay writing and clinical communication. Workshops and tutorials delivered include: Numeracy for Health Sciences, Drug Dosage Calculations, Essay Writing, Clinical Communication, Academic Literacy, and Academic Skills & Writing.
- **Physiotherapy**: ACU Physiotherapy lecturers are involved in delivering tutorials and workshops that assist physiotherapy students to improve their student learning strategies, manage their studies, improve oral presentations, and develop their professional writing skills.
- **Paramedicine**: ACU Paramedicine staff are engaged in delivering tutorials and workshops to students which address academic skills in the discipline. Tutorials and workshops delivered include: Assignment Writing, Planning and Referencing, and Clinical Communication.
- **Arts & Sciences**: ACU Arts and Sciences students are offered tutorials and workshops that focus on academic writing, referencing and digesting literature. Topics include: Writing your way to a Thesis, Academic Writing and Referencing, Synthesising the Literature.
- **Education**: Tutorials and workshops developed and delivered in collaboration with ACU Education lecturers include strategies on approaching course content and assessment tasks and reflective writing. Specific tutorial and workshop topics include: Unpacking Assignment Tasks and American Psychological Association (APA) style referencing, Reading Strategies and Reflective Writing, Professional Personal Philosophy Statements.

• Business: Tutorials and workshops delivered to Business studies students address topics such as: Locating & Using sources/Harvard Referencing, Group Report and Essay Writing, Making a start on Business Writing, Writing a Case Study Report, Writing a Critical Synopsis.

• Speech Pathology: ACU Speech Pathology lecturers are engaged in developing and presenting workshops and tutorials on a variety of topics, including: Writing an Annotated Bibliography, Search Strategies, Writing a Critical Review, Goal Reflection Student focused session, Choosing Sources; Using sources, Finding Your Voice.

• Business Law, Social Work, Theology, and Law students are offered tailored tutorials and workshops on academic writing and referencing.

As part of ACU’s efforts to support quality teaching and to ensure that academic and teaching support staff are encouraged and actively able to enhance their teaching skills, ACU offers a Graduate Certificate in Higher Education (GCHE) to staff. The GCHE is a 40-credit point, fully online course open to any staff member who is a graduate and currently in a teaching or teaching-support role at ACU: some enrolments are also taken from staff in other tertiary institutions, particularly from partners such as the theological colleges. ACU actively encourages staff to undertake the course by funding scholarships to cover the course fees for all internal staff whose professional practice would benefit from the qualification, and by formally recognising 50 study hours per unit in workload allocation, incentives which are included under the overall teaching quality strategies in the Mission-based Compact between the Commonwealth and ACU 2011-13. The GCHE is taught by higher education specialists from ACU’s Learning and Teaching Centre who draw on expertise across the institution and work from a curriculum that is continuously updated to meet practical challenges. The learning outcomes and benefits to staff are valued by Faculty heads, who can mandate the completion of some or all of the course as part of a staff member's probation. Completion of the GCHE is soon to be included in ACU’s promotion policies as evidence of a staff member's professional practice and scholarship in learning and teaching. There are currently 117 students active in the GCHE.

While comparable to the professional qualifications in higher education offered by the learning centres in other universities, the GCHE plays a particular role at ACU in encouraging the development and dissemination of foundational good practice and innovation in teaching across ACU’s seven campuses and online delivery, as well as across Faculties, with an influence beyond the teaching of the GCHE graduates themselves. Addressing the requirements of the demand driven system explicitly, one compulsory unit in the GCHE focuses on the diverse student cohorts and contexts of contemporary higher education; and each unit during the two-year, part-time schedule develops the participant’s involvement in the scholarship of learning and teaching within their discipline and the wider University. Individual work-based projects initiated during the course are subject to peer/participant review and shared with other staff, including GCHE graduates; some initiatives have been further developed into teaching grants and faculty projects, presented at School and Faculty professional development events, and taken to peers beyond the University. Personal and professional links are made which support teaching improvement: the teams which have worked in cross-faculty or cross-campus groups during the GCHE often develop strong collaborative processes and relationships that continue beyond the course.

ACU has successfully grown its undergraduate enrolment and aims to support the academic success of a diverse student cohort. It has established a Taskforce for Student Achievement and retention which represents all ACU Faculties in the development of whole of university retention analysis and intervention programs. ACU has invested in the development of targeted retentions strategies including:

• Peer Assisted Study Sessions (PASS) Program
• Embedded transition pedagogy for Health Science students
• Post Enrolment Language Assessment Program (PELA)
• MYMath Lab for Business students
• Student Advisers
• Preparatory Professional Experience Module for Education students
• High Achievers talent program
• Staff Referral Guide to Student Services
• English Language Tutor Project
• Professional Experience Online Learning Program for Early Childhood students from a VET background
• First Year Enrichment Development

Recognising the varying needs and circumstances of a larger and more diverse student cohort under the demand driven system, ACU offers a variety of different types of academic support services to cater to the needs of students and to assist student learning. These include:
• Academic Skills Services are available on all campuses from Monday to Saturday and online resources and external support services 24/7.

• Individual or group consultations are offered to students to provide tailored learning assistance and to respond to individual student learning needs.

• Drop-In services are offered by ACU Student Support Services and libraries

• Orientation Programs and Faculty Orientation Days: All OSS student support services offer workshops during Orientation Week and Faculty Orientation Days. Academic Skills offer the following workshops: Study Well Do, Well sessions topics such as Academic Writing & Referencing workshops catered to specific disciplines. In 2013, ACU delivered a total of 131 hours of orientation programs to 10,270 students.

• ‘Away from Base’ residential week programs for Indigenous Students: These programs include Academic Skills tutorial sessions and resources for Faculties of Education, Business, and Nursing & Midwifery. Topics include: Chicago Referencing, Academic Writing &Preparation, Academic Writing – Task Analysis & Structure, Academic Writing & Presentation, Exam Preparation, and Mathematics Support.

• Weekly semester generic workshop programs are offered by Academic Skills, Career Services and Student Counselling Service. In 2013, over 2,600 students accessed the generic academic skills workshops, with ACU’s Office of Student Success teaching over 330 hours of workshop programs. Workshop topics include: Time Management, Research (with Librarians), Group Work, Reflective Writing, Reading & Note Making, Language Punctuation and Grammar, Academic Writing, APA Referencing & Using Evidence, Exam Preparation & Maths: Decimals, Fractions, Significant Figures, Basic Maths Review, Introduction to Statistics, Fractions & Percentages, Decimals & Units of Measure, Basic Algebra, Stress Management, Resume Writing, Job Interviews, Resilience, Exam Preparation. The Student Counselling Service delivered approximately 110 workshops in 2013, with workshops ranging from 30 minutes to 3 hours on a variety of topics including: Being Focused, Maths Anxiety, Speech Pathology workshops, Getting the most out of Group Work; Relaxation Sessions; and Student Leadership.

• Academic & Professional English Explored: This eight week program focuses on developing student skills in academic and professional English, and communication skills with a focus on transition.

• Grammar for Academic Purposes: These programs provide students with opportunities to develop their spoken and written academic language skills. These free sessions are designed for both local and international students.

• Orientation sessions on researching for assignments: ACU is also planning to provide combined Orientation sessions, including Researching to Assignment Writing for non-recent school leavers, and sessions for Postgraduate Nursing Students – Researching to Assignment Writing.

• Specialised learning support programs that are delivered include:
  • ‘Head-start academic skills intensive’ - pre-Orientation sessions.
  • Pilot program, Building Skills in Social Work - developed in collaboration with Social Work lecturers and the ACU Academic Skills unit.
  • Academic Writing and Referencing, APA Referencing – a program developed in collaboration with the ACU Faculty of Arts & Sciences and Academic Skills in Clemente Program (the Clemente Program targets students from low socioeconomic and diverse backgrounds).
  • Tailored discipline-specific support for Health Sciences and Business students.
  • In 2013, 10,849 students accessed and utilised ACU’s discipline specific workshops, amounting to a total of 338 teaching hours in delivering these workshops.

Similar services to those above are catered to support ACU Equity Pathways and ACU Smart Students from diverse backgrounds.
ACU has introduced a number of programs and strategies to support students who are less academically prepared. The objectives of these programs are to:

- Prepare students for success in academic and university life.
- Assist academics to design and teach courses that stimulate the best learning from cohorts that have diverse needs.
- Establish programs and activities where all areas of the university assist students in having the best possible university experience.
- Provide the means by which students achieve results that will provide them with opportunities for a successful future.

ACU runs a range of pre and post commencement activities for these students.

**Pre commencement programs**

The ACU SMART program, supported by HEPPP funding, increases student preparedness for university life and promotes academic success and retention. The Program, offered by invitation, was piloted in 2010. It is designed to ease the transition from school to university for equity background students with low ATARs. The initial eligibility criteria encompassed any commencing domestic undergraduate student with an entry score of 65 or below. In 2011, the criteria were broadened to include any commencing domestic undergraduate students from low SES, Indigenous, and/or disadvantaged backgrounds. The two to three day preparatory course is conducted on campus the week before Orientation week in an environment which is supportive, interactive and friendly. The course design results from consultation with ACU’s TAFE partners, Academic Skills Unit and the Faculties. It includes a peer support system where present ACU students support small groups of ACU SMART students during their first year. ACU SMART also captures students from direct entry programs such as the Principals’ Recommendation Program.

ACU SMART has proven to be a successful preparatory course to aid student success in their transition to university, with participants performing better than invited non-participants in their first semester of study. Between 2010 and 2012 a total of 457 students have participated in this program across the range of courses that ACU provides. From 2012 to 2013, there was a 47 per cent increase in the number of students participating in the program. Further work is being undertaken by ACU to explore options to enhance the course, provide additional ongoing support for students, and to co-ordinate with the relevant Faculties to provide supplementary oversight and support to students.

The Student2student program engages and employs present ACU students to play a support role in ACUgate and Attainment programs. These students are trained and supported by the Equity Pathways staff throughout their work.

**Post commencement initiatives**

ACU has a number of initiatives and services available to students to help them succeed in their degree and complete their course.

The ACU Office of Student Success (OSS) provides students access to counselling, equity disability, careers, academic skills and campus life services. These areas of OSS work collaboratively with Equity Pathways in developing and supporting programs for student success throughout their time at university.

The ACU Learning and Teaching Centre (LTC) includes Learning Environment Online (LEO) and Information Technology, and works with ACU Equity Pathways to support students with their technological needs. The LTC are also active throughout the university in developing curriculum and teaching methodology to facilitate changing cohorts of students with diverse needs. Staff work to ensure that ACU resources and systems can properly and effectively accommodate students’ changing circumstances and needs.

In addition ACU offers a range of initiatives to support equity pathways and ACU SMART students. These initiatives include:

- Workshop sessions to assist students to navigate through course content:
- Time Management and Academic Language workshop sessions for ACU SMART students.

---
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• Developed activities and materials including *Academic Writing and Referencing Booklet*, materials for peer mentoring training.
• Academic skills workshops for Exercise Science, Nursing & Midwifery, & Education students, Year 12 Students (Challenges for New Students).
• Preparing for University and University Experience Day.
• Writing Your Way to Academic Success.
• Introduction to Academic Writing, the use of evidence and referencing.
• Sessions on Learning Expectations, Thinking about Study, and What to Expect at Uni.

**Keeping track of students**
As part of ACU’s retention strategy, the university has embarked on a program to make contact with students who are identified as being at risk. In the first instance, new students who have failed units in their first semester are called and advised of support options that are available and have the opportunity to engage in discussion. Assistance is also provided to students who independently request support. Feedback received to date from students has been positive. In 2014 further work will be undertaken to ensure direct contact with commencing students to provide further support as they progress through their course. ACU’s Taskforce for Student Achievement and Retention will also look at tracking and addressing other risk factors, such as students missing or failing an assignment, that may facilitate earlier intervention.

The office of the Academic Registrar works with the ACU Equity Pathways in developing and sustaining tracking mechanisms for the students who partake in the ACU SMART and the other academic success programs. The Academic Registrar and ACU Equity Pathways also work together to develop new systems and pathways to access the university.
7. OTHER OBSERVATIONS: DEMAND DRIVEN SYSTEM AND ECONOMIC DOWNTURN

The demand driven system also provides greater flexibility for the economy to immediately respond to periods of economic downturn. Having a demand driven system in place allows universities to expand to meet a market of people seeking to re-skill in a time of higher unemployment without waiting for the Commonwealth to offer additional places. Australia may be facing a period of economic downturn. The flexibility of the demand driven system, along with other measures will help absorb the impact of any future downturn.

The Pre-Election Economic and Fiscal Outlook (PEFO 2013) found that:

- The outlook for the global economy remains challenging with growth expected to remain well below trend at 3 per cent in 2013.

- Trade is “expected to decline by 5¾ per cent in 2013-14 and 3¾ per cent in 2014-15, returning them to around their 2009-10 level. The forecast decline in the terms of trade, alongside easing wage growth, is expected to lead to another two years of below average nominal GDP growth, of 3¾ per cent in 2013-14 and 4½ per cent in 2014-15.”

- Recent Treasury figures forecasting an unemployment rate of 6.25 per cent by mid-2014, alongside other factors such as retail sales growth now being at a decade record low, and the current national burden of debt, the indication is that the economy is slowing. During times of economic downturn, individuals are more inclined to pursue higher education, to upgrade their skills and lift their qualifications.

The Bradley Review identified that in the context of a slowing economy, there is a stronger imperative to ensure access to higher education and support the development of a flexible workforce:

> The spike in rates of unmet demand during the 1990 downturn indicates that the caps on places that existed at the time stopped greater growth in participation and attainment than would have otherwise been the case in a demand-driven system… in a tightly planned system the government faces the likelihood that action to increase places will lag behind peaks in demand... [A] demand-driven system is best able to use the cyclical changes to deliver improvements in the level of education of the Australian population.115

The demand driven system will be an important policy lever in a time of economic downturn.


115 Bradley Review, at 156.